General definitions

 $\mathcal{N}(y; \Sigma, \mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n |\Sigma|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(y-\mu)\right)$ need only n^2 params for joint instead of $2^n - 1$ <u>entropy</u> $H(q) = -\int q(\theta) \log q(\theta) d\theta = \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim q} \left[-\log q(\theta)\right]$ <u>mutual info</u> I(X; Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) (symmetric) <u>KL div.</u> $KL(q||p) = \int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta)} d\theta = \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim q} \left[\log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta)}\right]$ non-negative, zero iff q and p agree a.e., not symmetr. Jensen's inequality: $g(\mathbb{E}[X]) \leq \mathbb{E}[g(X)]$ for g convex, else flipped (e.g. $\log(\mathbb{E}[X]) \geq \mathbb{E}[\log(X)]$ <u>Hoeffding's inequality</u>: for f bounded in [0, C] $\overline{p(|\mathbb{E}_p[f(X)] - \frac{1}{N}\sum_i f(x_i)| > \epsilon)} \leq 2\exp(-2N\epsilon^2/C^2)$ <u>Robins-Monro conditions</u>: $\sum_t \epsilon_t = \infty, \sum_t \epsilon_t^2 < \infty$

Bayesian linear regression (BLR)

BLR makes same assumptions as ridge regression: cond. idd Gaussian noise, Gaussian prior $\underline{\text{RR}} = \text{MAP} \text{ estimation for LR } (y = w^T x), \text{ i.e. returns} \\ \text{single model, no uncertainty qualification (collapses all uncertainty onto mode of posterior } p(w|X, y)) \\ \underline{\text{BLR}} \text{ reasons about full } p(w|X, y) \sim \mathcal{N}(y; \mu, \Sigma) \\ \mu = (X^T X + \sigma_n^2 I)^{-1} X^T y ; \Sigma = (\sigma_n^{-2} X^T X + I)^{-1} \\ \text{prediction: } p(y^*|X, y, x^*) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu^T x^*, x^{*T} \Sigma x^* + \sigma_n^2) \\ \Rightarrow \text{ separation of epistemic uncertainty (about } f^*/\text{model due to lack of data) and aleatoric uncertainty (irreducible noise from <math>y^* = f^* + \epsilon$) independent noise $\Rightarrow \text{ recursive Bayesian updates, i.e.} \\ \text{use posterior from last iteration as prior: } p(w|y_{1:j+1}) = \frac{1}{Z} p(w|y_{1:j}) p(y_{j+1}|w, y_{1:j}) \\ w^{(j+1)} = f(w^{(j)}, y_{j+1}, x_{j+1}) \end{cases}$

Kalman/Bayesian filtering

motion model: $x_{t+1} = Fx_t + \epsilon_t$; $\epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_x)$ sensor model: $y_t = Hx_t + \eta_t$; $\eta_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_y)$ F, H known and deterministic, KF resembles HMM <u>Kalman update</u>: $\mu_{t+1} = F\mu_t + K_{t+1}(y_{t+1} - HF\mu_t)$ $\Sigma_{t+1} = (I - K_{t+1})(F\Sigma_t F^T + \Sigma_x)$ <u>Kalman gain</u>: $K_{t+1} = (F\Sigma_t F^T + \Sigma_x)H^T(H(F\Sigma_t F^T + \Sigma_x)H^T + \Sigma_x)H^T + \Sigma_y)^{-1}$, compute Σ_t, K_t offline (indep. of obs.) BLR = KF with w as hidden vars., $F = I, \sigma_x^2 = 0$ KF special case of GP with cond. indep. structure

Gaussian processes

instead of random w, think of random responses $f = Xw \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_p^2 X X^T)$ s.t. $XX^T = K, K_{ij} = x_i^T x_j$ Gaussians over functions instead of RVs/points prior p(f) encodes smoothness ass. on functions posterior p(f|data) encodes agreement with data uncertainty, tractable inference for finite marginals mean func. μ , covariance func. k (BLR for lin. kernel) prediction: closed form, posterior cov. k' indep. of y_A $\overline{\mu'(x) = \mu(x)} + k_{x,A}(K_{AA} + \sigma^2 I)^{-1}(y_A - \mu_A)$ $k'(x, x') = k(x, x') - k_{x,A}(K_{AA} + \sigma^2 I)^{-1} k_{x,A}^T$ sampling from GP: $f = [f_1, ..., f_n] \sim \mathcal{N}(0, K_x)$ product rule \Rightarrow forward sampling (fully sequential): sampling from univariate Gaussians $f_n \sim p(f_n | f_{1:n-1})$ opt. kernel params: 1) CV on predictive performance 2) Bayesian, i.e. max. marg. likelihood: $\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} \int p(y|f, X) p(f|\theta) df$ (general) $\hat{\theta} = \arg\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{2} \log |K_y(\theta)| + \frac{1}{2} y^T K_y(\theta) y$ (Gaussians) solve using GD, i.e. $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} - u_t \nabla L(\theta)$ reduces overfitting, but depends heavily on prior comp. cost: LSE in |A| unknowns $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(|A|^3)$ acceleration methods: 1) parallelization (still $\mathcal{O}(|A|^3)$) 2) local GP methods: only consider x' if $|k(x, x')| > \tau$ 3) kernel approx.: Fourier for stationary kernels 4) inducing point: ignore points (e.g. in clusters)

Approximate inference

Variational inference:

for BLR and GPR everything closed form, generally not the case \Rightarrow need approximations can evaluate joint $p(y, \theta)$ but not normalizer Z replace high-dim. integrals by optimization $p(\theta|y) = \frac{1}{Z}p(y, \theta) \approx q(\theta|\lambda)$ $q^* = \arg\min_q KL(q||p) = \arg\min_\lambda KL(q_\lambda||p)$ prefer $\arg\min_q KL(p||q)$ (p in q), but harder to opt. $q^* = \arg\max_q \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim q}[\log p(y|\theta)] - KL(q||p(\cdot))$ regularizer: want q close to prior $p(\cdot)$ Jensen's inequality \Rightarrow ELBO $L(q) \leq \log p(y)$ to use SGD to max. $L(\lambda)$, need reparameterization: $q(\theta|\lambda) = \phi(\epsilon)|\nabla_{\epsilon}g(\epsilon;\lambda)|^{-1}$; $\epsilon \sim \phi, \theta = g(\epsilon,\lambda)$ $\nabla_{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim q_{\lambda}}[f(\theta)] = \nabla_{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon \sim \phi}[f(g(\epsilon;\lambda))] = \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon \sim \phi}[\nabla_{\lambda}f(g)]$

Laplace approximation:

2nd-order Taylor expansion around $\hat{\theta}$ to construct Gaussian: $q(\theta) \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta; \hat{\theta}, \Lambda^{-1})$; $\Lambda = -\nabla \nabla \log p(\hat{\theta}|y)$ Z const. in optimization for $\hat{\theta}$ and calculation for Λ overconfident, does not consider cov. when seeking $\hat{\theta}$

Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

vs. VI: returns accurate result, higher comp. cost seek to approx. p using samples constructed by a markov chain (law of large numbers, need $\theta^{(i)}$ indep.) $p(y^*|X, y, x^*) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim p(\cdot|X,y)}[p(y^*|x^*, \theta)] \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_i f(\theta^{(i)})$ need $N \geq \frac{C^2}{2\epsilon^2} \log \frac{2}{\delta}$ for error $\leq \epsilon$ with prob. $\geq 1 - \delta$ create MC with $\pi = P(x) = \frac{1}{Z}P(y)P(x|y) = \frac{1}{Z}Q(x)$ guaranteed by <u>detailed balance</u>: $\frac{1}{Z}Q(x)P(x'|x) = \frac{1}{Z}Q(x')P(x|x')$ <u>Metropolis-Hastings</u>: (perf. highly dependent on R!) 1) given $X_t = x$, sample proposal $x' \sim R(X'|X = x)$ 2) set $X_{t+1} = x'$ with prob. α , else $X_{t+1} = x$ $\alpha = \min \{1, \frac{Q(x')R(x|x')}{Q(x)R(x'|x)}\}$ <u>Gibbs</u>: 1) init, assignment $x^{(0)}$ to all variables

2) fix observed vars. X_B to their observed values x_B 3) either random order (detailed balance): pick *i* unif. at random, update $x_i \sim P(X_i|v_i)$ or practical variant (no det. bal. but has correct π): set $x^{(t)} = x^{(t-1)}$, then update all x_i except those in B

 $Z = \sum_{x} Q(X_i = x, v_i)$ is easy to calculate \Rightarrow sampling from X_i given assignment to all other vars. is efficient $x^{(t)}$ dep. on $x^{(t-1)} \Rightarrow$ loln, Hoeffding's no longer hold only ergodic MC $\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_i f(x_i) = \mathbb{E}_{x\sim\pi}[f(x)]$ MCMC for continuous RVs:

proposal distr. either random (simple, uninformed) or in gradient direction (MALA):

 $\begin{aligned} R(x'|x) &\sim \mathcal{N}(x'; x - \tau \nabla f(x), 2\tau I) \\ \alpha &= \min \left\{ 1, e^{f(x) - f(x')} \right\} \text{ for } p = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-f(x)} \\ \text{converges to } \pi \text{ for f convex} \Leftrightarrow \text{p log-concave} \\ \text{requires access to full energy func. f} \end{aligned}$

\Rightarrow <u>SGLD</u>:

replace full gradient by unbiased estimate (minibatch), always accept but reduce step size η_t over time \Rightarrow SGD + Gaussian noise, converges for $\eta_t \in \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/3})$

Bayesian deep learning

heteroscedastic noise: noise depends on input \Rightarrow model mean and (log) var as outputs of NN $p(y|x,\theta) = \mathcal{N}(y; f_1(x,\theta), e^{f_2(x,\theta)})$ MAP est.: $\hat{\theta} = \arg\min_{\theta} -\log p(\theta) - \sum_i \log p(y_i|x_i,\theta)$ <u>prediction</u> $p(y^*|X, y, x^*) = \int p(y^*|x^*, \theta)p(\theta|X, y)d\theta$ integrals intractable \Rightarrow approximate inference: <u>Bayes by backprop</u>:

 $\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{h} \approx^{\mathrm{VI}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim q(\cdot|\lambda}[p(y^*|x^*,\theta)]} \approx^{\mathrm{MC}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_j p(y^*|x^*,\theta^{(j)}) \\
\Rightarrow \text{ mixture of Gaussians} \\
\mathbb{E}[llh] \approx \bar{\mu}(x^*) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_j \mu(x^*,\theta^{(j)}) \\
\text{Var}(llh) = \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}_y[y^*|x^*,\theta]) + \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\text{Var}(y^*|x^*,\theta)] \\
\approx \frac{1}{m} \sum_j (\mu(x^*,\theta^{(j)}) - \bar{\mu}(x^*))^2 + \frac{1}{m} \sum_j \sigma(x^*,\theta^{(j)}) \\
\frac{MCMC \text{ for BNNs:}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|^2}$

apply SGLD, MALA (only need stoch. grads of joint) \Rightarrow produce sequence $\theta^{(1)}, ..., \theta^{(T)}$, impossible to store all samples/models, hard to determine burn-in 1) subsampling: keep only a subset of m < T models 2) Gaussian approx.: running averages for μ_i, σ_i^2 specialised inference techniques for BNNs: dropout regularization: randomly ignore hidden units during each SGD iteration (forward and backprop.) view as VI: $q(\theta|\lambda) = \prod_j p\delta_0(\theta_j) + (1-p)\delta_{\lambda_j}(\theta_j)$ probabilistic ensembles of NNs: variation of $\theta^{(j)}$ shows uncertainty \Rightarrow bootstrap, get MAP on D_j to get $\theta^{(j)}$

Active learning

use epistemic and aleatoric uncertainty to decide which data to collect (e.g. where to place sensors) want points S which max. info gain (NP-hard) greedy algo./uncertainty sampling: choose $x_{t+1} = \arg \max_x \sigma_t^2(x)$ (only considers epistemic uncertainty) for <u>heteroscedastic case</u>, need $x_{t+1} = \arg \max_x \frac{\sigma_t^2(x)}{\sigma_n^2(x)}$ as aleatoric uncertainty no longer const. in x

Bayesian optimization (exploration-exploitation) use that similar alternatives have similar performance <u>multi-armed bandits</u>: pick x_t , observe $y_t = f(x_t) + \epsilon_t$ cum. regr. $R_T = \sum_t \max_x f(x) - f(x_t)$; want $\frac{R_T}{T} \to 0$ acquisition functions:

 $\overline{\text{GP-UCB: focus exploration on regions where upper conf. bound } \geq \text{best lower conf. bound}$ $x_t = \arg \max_x \mu_{t-1}(x) + \beta_t \sigma_{t-1}(x) \text{ (gen. non-convex)} \\ \text{how to choose } \beta_t?, \text{ naturally trades off e-e} \\ \text{Thompson: } x_t = \arg \max_x \tilde{f}(x) \text{ ; } \tilde{f} \sim p(f|x_{1:t}, y_{1:t}) \\ \text{randomness in } \tilde{f} \text{ enough to trade off e-e} \end{cases}$

Markov decision processes (MDPs)

states, actions, transition probas. and reward function $V^{\pi}(x) = r(x, \pi(x)) + \gamma \sum_{x'} P(x'|x, \pi(x)) V^{\pi}(x')$ can compute $V^{\pi} = r^{\pi} + \gamma T^{\pi} V^{\pi}$ exactly by solving LSE approx. by fixed point iteration: $V_t^{\pi} = r^{\pi} + \gamma T^{\pi} V_{t-1}^{\pi}$ converges exponentially every V induces a (greedy) π and vice versa: $V \rightsquigarrow \pi_q(x) = \arg \max_a r(x, a) + \gamma \sum_{x'} P(x'|x, a) V(x')$ Bellman thm: π optimal \Leftrightarrow greedy w.r.t. induced V policy iteration: init π , until convergence: 1) comp. $V^{\pi}(x)$ 2) comp. π_{q} w.r.t. V^{π} 3) $\pi = \pi_{q}$ V^{π} monotonically increases, converges to optimal π complexity: need to solve LSE for Vvalue iteration: Bellman+FPI $V_0(x) = \max_a r(x, a)$ $Q_t(x,a) = \max_a r(x,a) + \gamma \sum_{x'} P(x'|x,a) V_t(x')$ $V_t(x) = \max_a Q_t(x, a)$, break if $\|V_t - V_{t-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$ $\rightsquigarrow \pi_a$, converges to ϵ -optimal π in $\mathcal{O}(ln^{1/\epsilon})$ iterations POMDP: (control. HMM); $P(X_{t+1}|X_t, A_t), P(Y_t|X_t)$ very powerful but generally extremely intractable \Rightarrow belief-state MDPs (use Bayesian filtering): beliefs $P(X_t|y_{1:t})$ given noisy observations y $b_{t+1}(x) = P(X_{t+1} = x|y_{1:t+1}) = \frac{1}{Z}P(y_{t+1}|x)P(X_{t+1} = x|y_{1:t+1})$ $x|y_{1:t}$; $r(b_t, a_t) = \sum_{x} b_t(x) r(x, a_t)$ most belief states never reached dyn. progr., point based methods, policy grads

Reinforcement learning

credit assignment problem: which a_i got me to this r? data not iid, depends on our actions \Rightarrow e-e dilemma <u>model-based RL</u>: learn MDP from data estimate P(x'|x, a), r(x, a) e.g. by MLE (counts) store r,P; solve est. MDP up to $|X| \cdot |A|$ times ϵ -greedy: random a_t with prob. ϵ_t , else best a_t conv. to optimal π , considers suboptimal actions

 R_{max} : "optimism in the face of uncertainty" init $r(x, a) = R_{max}, P(x^*|x, a) = 1, \pi$ opt. w.r.t. r, Prepeat: exec. π , obs. (x, a), update r, est. P(x'|x, a), recompute π w.r.t. r, P after $n \in \mathcal{O}(\frac{R_{max}^2}{c^2} \log \frac{1}{\delta})$ obs. model-free RL: est. V^{π} directly given π TD-learning: (on-policy), init V_0^{π} , $\pi \rightsquigarrow (x, a, r, x')$ $\overline{V_{t+1}^{\pi}(x)} = (1 - \alpha_t)V_t^{\pi}(x) + \alpha_t(r + \gamma V_t^{\pi}(x'))$ i.e. use bootstrapping, one-sample est. of long-term rQ-learning: (off-policy) $a \rightsquigarrow (x, a, r, x')$ $\overline{Q_{t+1}(x,a)} = (1-\alpha_t)Q_t(x,a) + \alpha_t(r+\gamma \max_{a'} Q_t(x',a'))$ choose $Q_0(x,a) = \frac{R_{max}}{1-\gamma} \prod_t (1-\alpha_t)^{-1}$ for e-e tradeoff large state spaces: learn approx. $V(x;\theta), Q(x,a;\theta)$ neural-fitted Q-iteration (DQN): collect dataset D $L(\theta) = \sum_{x \in D} (r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(x', a'; \theta^{old}) - Q(x, a; \theta))^2$ max. bias, too optimistic about noisy est. of Q DDQN: decouple max.: $a^*(\theta) = \arg \max_{a'} Q(x', a'; \theta)$ $L(\theta) = \sum_{x \in D} (r + \gamma Q(x', a^*(\theta); \theta^{old}) - Q(x, a; \theta))^2$ large action spaces: policy search, learn $\pi(x;\theta)$ 1) policy gradients: $J(\theta) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i} r(\tau^{(j)})$ (on-policy) $\nabla J(\theta) = \nabla \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \pi_{\theta}}[r(\tau)] = \nabla \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \pi_{\theta}}[r(\tau) \nabla \log \pi_{\theta}(\tau)]$ MDP structure $\Rightarrow \nabla \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \pi_{\theta}}[r(\tau) \sum_{t} \nabla \log \pi(a_t | x_t; \theta)]$ unbiased but very large variance \Rightarrow baselines: $\nabla J(\theta) = \nabla \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \pi_{\theta}} \left[\sum_{i} \gamma^{t} (G_{t} - b_{t}) \nabla \log \pi(a_{t} | x_{t}; \theta) \right]$ e.g. $G_t = \sum_{t'=t} \gamma^{\tilde{t}'-t} r_{t'}$ rews-to-go, $b_t = \frac{1}{T} \sum_t G_t$ 2) actor-critic: (non-episodic) $\nabla J(\theta_{\pi}) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,a) \sim \pi_{\theta}}[Q(x,a;\theta_Q)\nabla \log \pi(a|x;\theta_{\pi})]$ $\theta_{\pi} \leftarrow \theta_{\pi} + \eta_t Q(x, a; \theta_O) \nabla \log \pi(a|x; \theta_{\pi}) ; \theta_O \leftarrow \theta_O \eta_t(Q(x,a;\theta_O) - r - \gamma Q(x',\pi(x';\theta_\pi);\theta_O))\nabla Q(x,a;\theta_O))$ off-policy AC: (DDPG, resp. TD3 to avoid max. bias) $L(\theta_Q) = \sum_{e \in D} (r + \gamma Q(x', \pi(x'; \theta_\pi); \theta_Q^{old}) - Q(x, a; \theta_Q))^2$ $\nabla J(\theta_{\pi}) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu} [\nabla Q(x, \pi(x; \theta); \theta_{O})]$ (i.e. w.r.t. π_{G}) only for determin. π , add action noise for exploration random. π : A use reparam. to pull $\nabla_{\theta_{\pi}}$ into $\mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi(x, \theta_{\pi})}$ soft AC: $J_{\lambda}(\theta) = J(\theta) + \lambda H(\pi_{\theta})$ (entropy regulariz.) model-based deep RL: smaller sample complexity MPC: $\max_{a_{0:\infty}} \sum_{t} \gamma^t r(x_t, a_t)$ s.t. $x_{t+1} = f(x_t, a_t)$ finite horizon, unroll: $\max_{a_{t:t+H-1}} \sum_{\tau} \gamma^{\tau} r(x_{\tau}(a_{t:\tau-1}), a_{\tau})$ analytic grads local min., exploding/vanishing grads use heuristics, e.g. random shooting sparse r, add (off-policy) V estimate $+\gamma^H V(x_{t+H})$ unknown (f, r): regression (Bayesian learning, e-e)